

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHRIS JONATHAN EPPERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL ASSEMBLY, HOUSE OF
COMMONS, UNITED NATIONS,

Defendants.

No. 1:23-cv-01759-KES-SKO

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS THAT PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT BE DISMISSED WITHOUT
LEAVE TO AMEND

(Doc. 8)

Plaintiff Chris Epperson, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed a complaint on December 22, 2023. Doc. 1. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 30, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's complaint and, because it failed to state a cognizable claim, ordered him to either file an amended complaint, file a notice that he intended to stand on the initial complaint, or file a notice of voluntary dismissal. Doc. 5. Plaintiff thereafter filed a first amended complaint. Doc. 8. On April 3, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's first amended complaint and issued findings and recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed without leave to amend due to

1 plaintiff's repeated failure to state a cognizable claim. Doc. 10. The magistrate judge explained
2 that plaintiff's three-sentence amended complaint was devoid of discernible factual allegations
3 and could not possibly put any of the defendants – several foreign nations and “National
4 Assembly House of Commons United Nations” – on notice of any claim against them. *Id.* at 3.
5 On April 8, 2024, plaintiff timely filed objections to the findings and recommendations which
6 contained only of a sentence stating that he objected and a sentence stating that he intended to
7 appeal, without any specific discussion of the findings and recommendations. Doc. 11.


8 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the court has conducted a de
9 novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the file, including plaintiff's objections, the
10 court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper
11 analysis.

12 Accordingly:

- 13 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 3, 2024, Doc. 10, are adopted in
14 full;
- 15 2. This action is dismissed without leave to amend due to plaintiff's failure to state a
16 cognizable claim; and
- 17 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

18
19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: September 30, 2024

21 
22 _____
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28